

Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834)  
headley@fr.com

Jeanel N. Sunga (SBN 333815)  
sunga@fr.com

**FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**  
500 Arguello Street, Suite 400  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
Telephone: (650) 839-5070  
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

*Additional attorneys on signature page*

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
BYTEDANCE INC. and TIKTOK INC.

Alfred R. Fabricant (*Admitted pro hac vice*)  
ffabricant@fabricantllp.com

Peter Lambrianakos (*Admitted pro hac vice*)  
plambrianakos@fabricantllp.com

Vincent J. Rubino, III (*Admitted pro hac vice*)  
vrubino@fabricantllp.com

Joseph M. Mercadante (*Admitted pro hac vice*)  
jmercadante@fabricantllp.com

**FABRICANT LLP**

411 Theodore Fremd Avenue, Suite 206 South  
Rye, New York 10580

Telephone: (212) 257-5797

Facsimile: (212) 257-5796

*Additional attorneys on signature page*

*Attorneys for Defendants*

ADVANCED CODING TECHNOLOGIES  
LLC, ANJAY TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS  
LLC, ANJAY VENTURE PARTNERS LLC,  
and DEEPAK SHARMA

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**OAKLAND DIVISION**

BYTEDANCE INC. AND TIKTOK INC.,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

ADVANCED CODING TECHNOLOGIES  
LLC, ANJAY TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS  
LLC, ANJAY VENTURE PARTNERS LLC,  
AND DEEPAK SHARMA,

*Defendants.*

Case No. 4:23-cv-01412-HSG

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
EXPEDITE TIME AND MODIFY  
BRIEFING ON MOTION TO STAY  
JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY (as  
modified)**

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, as supported by the declaration of Alfred R. Fabricant, filed herewith, Plaintiffs ByteDance Inc. and TikTok Inc. (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants Advanced Coding Technologies LLC, Anjay Technology Partners LLC, Anjay Venture Partners LLC, and Deepak Sharma (collectively, “Defendants”) (Plaintiffs and Defendants collectively, “Parties”) hereby stipulate to shorten the time for Plaintiffs to serve their opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Stay Jurisdictional Discovery (“Motion to Quash”) and modify briefing on the Motion to Quash, based on the following facts:

1. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint against Defendants on March 24, 2023. Dkt. No. 1.
2. On June 2, 2023, Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. No. 38.
3. On June 5, 2023, the Court issued a notice regarding the briefing schedule for the motion. Dkt. No. 39. The Court’s briefing schedule provided that the Plaintiffs’ response is due on June 16, 2023, Defendants’ Reply is due on June 23, 2023, and the hearing on the Defendants’ motion is set for argument on July 27, 2023. *Id.*
4. On June 9, 2023, Parties stipulated to extend Plaintiffs’ response to June 23, 2023, and push all other briefing deadlines by one week. Dkt. No. 43.
5. On June 23, 2023, Plaintiffs filed their opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. No. 49.
6. On June 30, 2023, Defendants filed their reply to their Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. No. 52.
7. As set forth in the accompanying Declaration of Alfred R. Fabricant, good cause exists for the stipulated shortening and modification because in light of other competing deadlines, it will be the best use of Parties’ and the Court’s time and resources to hear arguments on the Motion to Quash concurrently with arguments on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss on July 27, 2023.
8. Therefore, on June 29, 2023, Defendants asked whether Plaintiffs would oppose expediting the briefing (from July 14, 2023, to July 10, 2023) for Plaintiffs to file their opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Quash and to complete briefing without a reply and objection to reply evidence. The same day, Plaintiffs agreed to expediting their opposition and modifying briefing.

9. Parties agree that all other dates in the schedule will remain unchanged.

Accordingly, Parties stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, that good cause exists to expedite and modify briefing. After Plaintiffs file their opposition to the Motion to Quash on July 10, 2023, briefing will be complete. There will be no reply and potential objection to reply evidence. Arguments for the Motion to Quash will occur on July 27, 2023, at 2:00pm simultaneously with arguments regarding Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

There have been two previous extensions in this case: Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to the Initial Complaint (Dkt. No. 16.) and Stipulation to Extend Time for Briefing on the Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 43).

DATED: June 30, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

**RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**

By: /s/ Benjamin T. Wang

Benjamin T. Wang

*Attorney for Defendants*  
ADVANCED CODING  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC, ANJAY  
TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS LLC,  
ANJAY VENTURE PARTNERS LLC,  
and DEEPAK SHARMA

DATED: June 30, 2023

**FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**

By: /s/ Ricardo J. Bonilla

Ricardo J. Bonilla

*Attorney for Plaintiffs*  
BYTEDANCE INC. and TIKTOK INC.

**ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)**

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from any other signatory to this document.

By: /s/ Benjamin T. Wang

Benjamin T. Wang

***Additional Counsel:***

Benjamin T. Wang (CA SBN 228712)  
*bwang@raklaw.com*  
 Minna Y. Chan (CA SBN 305941)  
*mchan@raklaw.com*  
**RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**  
 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor  
 Los Angeles, California 90025  
 Telephone: (310) 826-7474  
 Facsimile: (310) 826-9226

*Attorney for Defendants*  
 ADVANCED CODING  
 TECHNOLOGIES LLC, ANJAY  
 TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS LLC,  
 ANJAY VENTURE PARTNERS LLC,  
 and DEEPAK SHARMA

Ricardo J. Bonilla (*Admitted pro hac vice*)  
*rbonilla@fr.com*  
**FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**  
 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000  
 Dallas, TX 75201  
 Telephone: (214) 747-5070  
 Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

Adam J. Kessel (*Admitted pro hac vice*)  
*kessel@fr.com*  
**FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**  
 One Marina Park Drive  
 Boston, MA 02210  
 Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
 BYTEDANCE INC. and TIKTOK INC.


RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

**ORDER**

BEFORE the Court is the parties' Stipulation to Expedite Time and Modify Briefing on Motion to Stay Jurisdictional Discovery. Pursuant to the stipulation, the Court hereby GRANTS the stipulation, SHORTENS the deadline for Plaintiffs to serve their response to Defendants' Motion to Stay Jurisdictional Discovery from July 14, 2023 to July 10, 2023, and MODIFIES briefing on Defendants' Motion to Stay Jurisdictional Discovery to complete briefing after Plaintiffs' response on July 10, 2023. A hearing on the Motion to Stay will occur on July 27, 2023, at 2:00pm alongside the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: 7/3/2023

  
HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
United States District Judge

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT